1	JOHN C. FISH, Jr., Bar No. 160620	
2	jfish@littler.com ANDREW M. SPURCHISE, Bar No. 245998	
3	aspurchise@littler.com EMILY E. O'CONNOR, Bar No. 279400	
4	eoconnor@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.	
5	650 California Street 20th Floor	
6	San Francisco, California 94108.2693 Telephone: 415.433.1940	
7	Facsimile: 415.399.8490	
8	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SCOTT MUNRO	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14	RASHID ALATRAQCHI,	Case No. C13-3156 JSC
15	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
16	v.	
17	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., SCOTT MUNRO AND DOES 1-50,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 650 California Street 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108.2693 415.433.1940	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO OSC REGARDING REMAND	Case No. C13-03156 JSC

Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SCOTT MUNRO (collectively 1 "Defendants") hereby submit their response to the Court's October 4, 2013 Order to Show Cause as 2 to why this action should not be remanded to state court. 3 Defendants agree with the Court that Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint does not 4 5 appear to allege any claims under federal law. As the Court suggested following the August 22, 2013 hearing on Defendants' 6 Motion to Dismiss, defense counsel attempted to meet and confer with Plaintiff regarding the First 7 Amended Complaint but did not receive a response. It is Defendants' position that, notwithstanding 8 the Court's Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the First Amended Complaint remains 9 deficient in a number of respects. However, given that Defendants concede the absence of a 10 significant federal question in the First Amended Complaint, but without waiving the right to 11 challenge the sufficiency of Plaintiff's amended pleading in state court, Defendants consent to 12 remand of this case to the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco. 13 14 15 Respectfully submitted, Dated: October 7, 2013 16 17 /s/ Andrew M. Spurchise 18 ANDREW M. SPURCHISE LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Attorneys for Defendants 19 UBER TECHNOLGIES, INC. AND SCOTT 20 **MUNRO** 21 22 23 Firmwide:123480506.1 073208.1011 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY 1 I am employed in San Francisco County, California. I am over the age of eighteen 2 years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 650 California Street, 3 94108.2693. On October 7, 2013, I deposited 20th Floor, San Francisco, California 4 with FEDERAL EXPRESS, a true and correct copy of the within documents: 5 6 DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows: 7 8 Rashid Alatraqchi 447 Valencia Street, Apt. 231 9 San Francisco, CA 94103 Plaintiff in Pro Per 10 Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for 11 collection by FEDERAL EXPRESS on this date, and would, in the ordinary course of business, be 12 retrieved by FEDERAL EXPRESS for overnight delivery on this date. 13 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at 14 whose direction the service was made. 15 Executed on October 7, 2013, at San Francisco, California. 16 17 Olivia Pearl Azevedo 18 19 Firmwide:123505331.1 073208.1011 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28